S DISTRICT COURT
RICT OF CALIFORNIA
Case No. 3:19-cv-02561-WHO
[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING
PLAINTIFF DEPOSITIONS
Hon. William H. Orrick
Case No. 3:19-cv-02562-WHO

1	Having considered the Joint Discovery Dispute Statement Regarding Plaintiff
2	Depositions, all relevant briefing, any oral argument by the parties, and good cause shown,
3	it is hereby ORDERED that:
4	1. Background. To the extent feasible, and except for good cause shown,
5	Plaintiffs shall be deposed only once across the Related Actions. If both Defendants in the
6	Related Actions wish to question an adverse or non-party Plaintiff, both Defendants should
7	participate in a single deposition of that Plaintiff.
8	2. Notice and Conduct of the Deposition.
9	A deposition notice of any Plaintiff from either Defendant shall be considered notice
10	on behalf of both Defendants. Notwithstanding the foregoing, a Defendant may only notice
11	and participate in the deposition of an adverse Plaintiff. For example, StarKist may not
12	notice or participate in the deposition of Plaintiff Tara Duggan. Similarly, COSI may not
13	notice or participate in the deposition of Plaintiff Warren Gardner.
14	3. Coordination and Admissibility. Defendants shall coordinate to avoid
15	duplicative questioning during each Plaintiff deposition. Accordingly, regardless of the
16	Party affiliation of the questioning attorney, the testimony of any Plaintiff shall be
17	admissible in each of the Related Actions to the same extent as if taken in one of the Related
18	Actions exclusively by counsel of record in that action. The Parties reserve all other rights
19	to object to the admission of such testimony in any Action, for example, objections based
20	upon relevance or the rules of evidence.
21	4. Duration. Except by agreement of the Parties or a Court order allowing
22	additional time, the length of the deposition of any Plaintiff shall not exceed seven (7) hours
23	of examination on the record over one day, not including any examination by counsel for
24	Plaintiffs.
25	5. Confidentiality. To the extent that a Plaintiff is examined about a document
26	or subject designated as CONFIDENTIAL pursuant to the Stipulated Protective Order for
27	Standard Litigation in either of the Related Actions (Gardner Dkt. No. 96 and Duggan Dkt.
28	No. 69; together, the "Protective Orders"), that document and/or the relevant portion of the

1	deposition transcript:
2	a. may be disclosed to the other Defendant's Outside Counsel of
3	Record (as defined in the Protective Orders) where the designation was made by a
4	Defendant; and
5	b. shall be deemed to be designated as CONFIDENTIAL pursuant to
6	both of the Protective Orders where the designation was made by a Plaintiff.
7	FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN, IT IS SO ORDERED.
8	1
9	$W \cdot M \circ O$
10	DATED: July 14, 2021 The Honorable William H. Orrick
11	The Honorable william II. Office
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	